## HIGH COURT OF THE FEDERAL CAPITAL TERRITORY SMALL CLAIMS COURT EXECUTION REPORT FOR THE MONTH OF FEBUARY 2024

## COURT NO 11 WUSE ZONE 6 MAGISTRIAL DISTRICT

NAME OF SHERIFF	SUIT NO. AND PARTIES	DATE OF JUDGMENT	DATE OF EXECUTION	DURATION FROM JUDGMENT TO EXECUTION	STATUS OF JUDGMENTS NOT EXECUTED  (WHETHER ON APPEAL OR PARTIES HAVE SETTLED)
ONWUASOANYA VIVIAN .C.	SCC/07/2024  CHIGBOOBIAKOR AND MR  IKEGWOHA CHINEDU IFEANYI	25/3/24	PENDING	PENDING EXECUTION	SETTLED
ONWUASOANYA VIVIAN .C.	SCC/08/2024 EVAN OYAKU AND BALA SANI	08/04/24	PENDING	PENDING EXECUTION	SETTLED
		The state of the s			

## IN THE MAGISTRATE COURT OF THE FEDERAL CAPITAL TERRITORY

IN THE ABUJA MAGISTRIAL DIVISION

**IN THE SMALL CLAIMS COURT 11 HOLDEN AT WUSE ZONE 6.** 

BEFORE HIS WORSHIP CHIOMA. A

DATED: 8<sup>TH</sup> APRIL,2024

BETWEEN

MR EVANS OYAAKU...... CLAIMANT

AND

BALA SANI.. ...DEFENDANT

JUDGMENT

Appearances;

Owobo Godday Esq. for the claimant

that he had a sister case in another court Defendant counsel was in court a while ago, he informed the court

The claimant seeks alone reliefs; SCA2 and small claims summons SCA3 dated26th February 2024. This small claim suit was filed by the claimant vide complaint Form

An order of this court directing the defendant to pay the the balance of debt owed to the claimant by the defendant. claimant the sum of N2,000,000,00 (Two million Naira) being

Hearing commenced on the 5/3/24 with the evidence of

FCT HIGH COURT

CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

DATE 23

V1V192

Scanned with OKEN Scanner

assured him to pay back within nighty days (90) through exhibit million Naira ) only to the defendant in which the defendant statement on oath that he gave the sum of N 500,000,00 (Five oath in evidence, he stated at paragraph 4 of his witness The claimant himself as Cw1 adopted his witness statement on

thereafter paid the sum of N 3,000,000,00 to the claimant agreement bythe defendant dated 24/07/23 the defendant CW1 states further that based on Exhibit C1 titled a letter of demand on the defendant to repay the said balance which leaving a balance sum of N 2,000,000,00, that despite serval proved abortive , hence the commencement of this suit ,

to the claimant, also that it was a friendly load without interest. sum three million N3,000,000,00 out of the sum N5,000,000,00, At the close of Examination in chief, defendant counsel cross A sole document was admitted as Exhibit C1 which is Examined CW1 it was confirmed that the defendant paid the A Letterofagreement dated 24<sup>th</sup> July 2023. That was the case of the claimant.

samereliefs and prayers. of defence and counter claim were taken together as bothcontains adoptedhis witness statement on oath in evidence,though statement On the 14<sup>th</sup> march 2024 the defendant testified by himself and

N7,100,000,000.00, to the claimant/ Defendant, defendant/ counter transaction, he finally said so far he has paid the sum of remitting monies through Dw1 who was a middle man in the interest per month at the sum of 11, 567,000 in which they were three hundred and fifty thousand naira)N 1,350.000.00 with 20% claimant granted three Kaduna customer loan totalled (onemillion, DW1 referred to paragraph 2 (E) of his defence and states that the

FCT KICH COURT

BIGN DIRUECOPY - OSTINIU

claimant was cross examined by the defendant, DW1 confirmed that exhibit C1 titled, letter of Agreement was writing and signed by him, 3,000,000,00 naira To the claimant sometimes in December, 2023 and that after signing the letter he further paid the sum of N

However, the defendant/counter claim against the defendant are as

- An order of this honourable opening the money lending transaction of (onemillion, three hundred and fifty thousand relevant law. Naira) N 1,350.000.000 and interest of 20% charge in line with
- 2 An order of the court compelling the claimant counter/ on (one million, three hundred and fifty thousand naira) only claimant all unlawful, illegal excessive interest charges and cost claimant defendant to immediately refund to the counter/ lent to three customers through the counter claimant
- ω only from the principal sum of N 1,350.000.00 to the claimant An order of the court discharging the defendant counter/ excessive charge on loan haven paid the sum of N 7.100,000 claimant from any form of debt, further illegal interest
- A declaration that the defendant counter claimant operates money lending without license in FCT and kaduna respectfully

from Exhibit D1 to D9, certain documents were admitted and marked as Exhibits numbering

addresses, issues were canvassed, statutory authorities cited by At the close of trail parties filed and adopted their final written

provided under ARTICLE 1 of the District court Act practice direction resolution of simple debt recovery disputed in the district courts as are to provide easy access to an informal , inexpensive and speedy that this suit fell under small claims proceedings in which itobjectives Before I proceed it is pertinent and instructive to state at this point

CT MIGH COURT

CERTIFIED TRUF COPY

いがいっとりといい

SCA 3 respectively, not by plaint note, in which the claimant suit was commencement by FORM SCA 1, on small daims 2022, hence in furtherance to the above the the above analysis, I hold that the case is competent and complied with as the record of the court is clear on that, in view of honourable court raised a sole issue for determinationthus; has the jurisdiction to hear and determine same, if that is settled this SCA 2 and Accompanied this court g

Whether the claimant has proved his case to entitle him reliefs

certain fact" voluntary acknowledgment made by a party of the existence of 2012 LEPELR 7844 SC it was held that "Admission is a concession or of action in this case, in the case of ADUSEL & ANOR V. ADEBAYO 3,000,000,00 leaving a balance of N 2,000,000,00 which is the cause admission, on record he made a part payment of the sum of N within 90 day from the day it was made, based on the aforesaid said document understood the content and indeed before signing a later of agreement, it was further reviewed that the maker of the itto pay the sum of Smillion to the claimant/ counter defendant cross examination of DW1, that he wrote and signed exhibit C1 titled by Parties, in doing so however, it was reviewed in defence under of this honourable court to look into the totality of evidence adduced defendant/ counter claimant, in reaching just conclusion it's the duty the claimant is for a recovery of debt of N 2,00,000,00 owed by the The pivotal point of this suit before the honourable court filed

The law is well settled that facts admitted of course needs no further

claimant defendant to the counter claim never received the said so there is nowhere in his testimonies he proved the fact that the establish and or prove the existence of facts contained therein, more On the counter claim by defendant counter claimant he failed to

SOUN SOUN M. W.C. Z Z

COURT

of money owing to one person from another"based on the totality of evidence and the totality of has proved this case and is entitled to reliefs sought. evidence and exhibits tendered, it is considered that the claimant sum of money due by certain and express agreement a special sum of money. & ANOR V.DONATEE CONCEPT NIG LTD 2010 LPELR 4173 CA thus "A sum of money in contention, be it a premium, an interest or a 

only being the balance of 5 million owed to the claimant. Judgment is entered. Therefore the defendant is ordered to pay the sum of two million

FCT KISH COURT

CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

NAME ON THIS COPY

SIGN. ON THE SHIP IN THE OPEN TO THE O